Purification of algal anti-tyrosinase zeaxanthin from *Nannochloropsis oculata* using supercritical anti-solvent precipitation
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**Abstract**

This work investigated the changes in content of algal zeaxanthin in submicronized precipitates generated from the supercritical anti-solvent (SAS) process of extracting microalgae *Nannochloropsis oculata*. Following a reverse phase elution chromatography, the particulates were successfully generated from feed solutions containing zeaxanthin that ranged from 0.4 to 0.8 mg/mL by a SAS process. The precipitation condition was set at 323 K and pressures ranged from 10 to 20 MPa. Experimental results of a three-factor center composite response surface method for the SAS process indicated that the size of the precipitates was significantly affected by the flow rate of carbon dioxide. The purity of zeaxanthin increased with increasing solvent flow rate and with reducing solution concentration. The recovery of zeaxanthin and the morphology of the precipitates was also examined. The content of zeaxanthin in submicronized precipitates increased from 485.9 (48.6\%) to 673.7 mg/g (67.4\%). This work demonstrates that elution chromatography coupled with a SAS process is an environmentally benign method to recover anti-tyrosinase zeaxanthin from *Nannochloropsis oculata* as well as to generate submicronized precipitates of the purest zeaxanthin from algal solutions.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**1. Introduction**

Zeaxanthin (C\(_{40}\)H\(_{56}\)O\(_{2}\)) is the principal pigment obtained from yellow corn or from the marigold flower; it is involved in many important physiological functions of the human body. Dietary xanthophylls such as lutein and zeaxanthin play important roles against age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and other age-related eye disease (AED) [1–3]. Lutein and zeaxanthin have been found to accumulate in high concentrations within the human retina [4]. Photo-protective mechanisms are important to prevent harmful reactions in plants (marigold) and algae (*Nannochloropsis oculata*). Harmful reactions are induced by an excess of photons absorbed from sunlight irradiation. Carotenoids have been suggested as key molecules in these photo-protective mechanisms. For example, beta-carotene can act as an effective quencher of singlet oxygen in the photo-system II reaction center [5]. Another example, xanthophylls, especially zeaxanthin, is involved in the process of non-photochemical energy dissipation [6–10]. Algae or marigold containing zeaxanthin can be cultivated in the environment mentioned above. However, traditional organic solvent extraction of these thermal labile and light sensitive carotenoids from natural material is not so efficient due to low recovery of the carotenoid by total vaporization of the solvent at high boiling point. Co-solvent modified supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO\(_{2}\)) extraction of lipids and carotenoids from microalgae; ultrasound water extraction of vitamins B from SC-CO\(_{2}\) defatted rice bran; and SC-CO\(_{2}\) extraction of cinnamic acid derivatives from propolis were recently investigated [11–13]. These supercritical fluids extraction showed that the SC-CO\(_{2}\) is a green solvent in recovering valuable compounds from natural materials.

Supercritical anti-solvent (SAS) precipitation of solutes from a liquid phase solution has been extensively applied in pigment dispersion and pharmaceutical recrystallization to produce fine particles with high yield [14,15]. This SAS approach has also been utilized in the separation of bioactive compounds from natural materials, including flavonoids, ginkgolides, lycopene, carotenoids and phenolic acid derivatives [16–22]. Additionally, experimental
The transient high solution is important to generate micronized particles in a SC-CO₂ anti-solvent precipitation process. However, the transient high solution is important in understanding the supercritical anti-solvent (SAS) process as supercritical, superheated, liquid and the solvent is important in the mechanism of particle formation associated with SAS precipitation by Rantakyla et al. [23].

A 95% mix of lutein (Fluka, Switzerland), >95% zeaxanthin (Fluka, Switzerland), and 95% catenalen (Fluka, Switzerland) was used for SAS precipitation. The reagents used for agar-plate inhibition experiments were donated by GeneReach Biotech. Company (Central Science Laboratory, Taiwan). Ultrapure water (>18 MΩ) was obtained using the UltrapureTM water purification system (Mallinckrodt, USA). A 99.5% dichloromethane (DCM) (Mallinckrodt, USA). 99.5% methyl tert-butyl ether (Mallinckrodt, USA), 99.5% acetone (Mallinckrodt, USA), 99.5% ethanol (Mallinckrodt, USA), and 99.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were used for the extractions, SAS process as supercritical, superheated, liquid.

Analytical grade solvents used for the extractions, SAS processes, column chromatography and fractionations contained 99% ethanol (Mallinckrodt, USA), 99.5% acetonitrile (Mallinckrodt, USA), 99.5% dichloromethane (DCM) (Mallinckrodt, USA). HPLC grade solvents were used for the mobile phase in HPLC contained 99.5% methanol (Mallinckrodt, USA), 99.5% methyl tert-butyl ether (Mallinckrodt, USA), Ultrapure water (>18 MΩ) was obtained using the UltrapureTM water purification system (Mallinckrodt, USA).

Freeze-dried microalgal powders (10.5 g) were extracted using four different solvents separately over 16 h in a 175 mL Soxhlet extractor. After extraction, solvents were removed under vacuum and then weighed individually. The extracts were stored in a 193 K refrigerator before column chromatography was performed. All extractive and quantitative procedures were carried out under dimmed light.

2.4. Column chromatography and fractionation

The freeze-dried microalgal powders (50.0 g) were exhaustively extracted in dichloromethane with a 300 mL Soxhlet extractor. The algal extracts were concentrated under vacuum to yield a loading sample (3.6 g) for column chromatography. The loading sample was dissolved in mixed solvents of ethyl acetate (EA) and n-hexane (1:3, volume ratio). The solution was subjected to a 10 cm (ID) × 30 cm (L) glass column which was packed with silica gel as a stationary phase. The flow rate was measured, reported earlier by Chang et al. [24]. This study investigated SAS precipitation of submicron-sized particles containing zeaxanthin from purified algal solutions. The effect of the operation parameters (i.e., pressure, feed concentration, CO₂ flow rate) on purity and recovery of zeaxanthin was based on a three-factor center composite response surface methodology and was examined to determine the optimization of the purity of zeaxanthin in the SC-CO₂ precipitates. For interpretation of the schematic flow diagram of SC-CO₂ precipitation, the reader is referred to the study of the Chen et al. [12]. Liquid CO₂ was compressed using a high-pressure pump (Spe-ed SPE, Applied Separations, USA) (4) into the first surge tank (75 mL, L/D = 30) (8). It entered a second surge tank (750 mL, L/D = 10) (11) at a constant flow rate after it was preheated using a heat exchanger (7). Then, CO₂ flowed (18, 36, 54 g/min) through a metering valve (SS-31R54-A, Swagelok, USA) (6–3) into a visible precipitator (TST, Taiwan) (12), and various concentrations (0.4, 0.6, 0.8 mg/mL) of feed solutions were delivered into the precipitator at a constant flow rate of 2 mL/min via a high-pressure liquid pump (L-6200A, Hitachi, Japan) (17). A coaxial nozzle of 0.007 in. inside diameter was installed in the entrance of the precipitator to spray off the feed solution. A stainless ferrule (37 μm) and an online filter (0.45 μm) were placed at the bottom of precipitator to prevent the penetration of particles. The operating pressure (10, 15, 20 MPa) was regulated using a back-pressure regulator (26-1722, Tescom, USA) (9–3), and the operating temperature (313 K) controlled using water bath circulating water (5–3). The elution of CO₂ was measured using a drum type gas meter (TG105/Ritter, Germany) (16).

2.6. Quantification of zeaxanthin

High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was performed using a Hitachi 2130 pump and 2400 UV series system (Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The analysis was carried out with a reverse-phase YMC C-30 (5 μm, 250 mm × 4.6 mm i.d.) and a Phenomenex Luna security guard cartridge C-18 (5 μm, 4 mm × 2.0 mm i.d.). The microalgae extracts were eluted using mobile phases of water (A) methanol (B) and methyl t-butyl ether (C). The eluent flow rate was maintained at 1 mL/min, the injection volume was 20 μL, and the detection wavelength and column temperature were set to 450 nm and 303 K. The elution gradients were as follows: 0 min, 10% A, 90% B, 0% C; 5 min, 4% A, 81% B, 15% C; 25 min, 4% A, 81% B,
15% C; 50 min, 4% A, 31% B, 65% C. The identity of zeaxanthin was confirmed by comparing its HPLC retention time with the analytical standards at the wavelength of 450 nm.

2.7. Analysis of particle size distribution and morphology

The mean particle size and particle size distribution (PSD) were determined using a light scattering particle size analyzer (Beckman Coulter, Counter F5, USA). The morphologies of the precipitates were examined using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) (UltraPlus series, Zeiss, GE). The precipitates were sputtered with a layer of platinum film and the FESEM images were observed under a voltage of 2 kV.

2.8. Agar-plate tyrosinase inhibition

The whitening tests were performed using mushroom tyrosinase (200 Unit/mL) that was smeared on an agar plate that contained 97.5% distilled water, 0.1% l-Dopa, 2% agar and 0.4% 2-phenoxyethanol. The samples at certain concentrations were loaded on the surface of a piece of No. 2 filter paper which was placed on the agar-plate and then incubated at room temperature for 6 h. The bright area of the sample represents the area of tyrosinase inhibition.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. HPLC analysis of carotenoids

Fig. 1 shows the HPLC chromatograms for the analysis of carotenoids from the extracted, fractionated and precipitated samples. From Fig. 1(b), carotenoids from Soxhlet dichloromethane (DCM) extraction were separated successfully using a C30 column with a gradient elution method. Each carotenoid was identified by comparing it with its commercial standard chromatogram as shown in Fig. 1(a). The amount of zeaxanthin was higher than other carotenoids in the sample of Soxhlet DCM extraction. The chromatograph of the sample after column partition is shown in Fig. 1(c). The result reveals that the concentrations of carotenoid decreased after the column partition except for zeaxanthin. Finally, Fig. 1(d) shows that the amount of zeaxanthin further increased after undergoing the process of supercritical anti-solvent precipitation. The purity of zeaxanthin increased from the Soxhlet extract, to the column fractions, and finally to the SAS precipitates stepwise.

3.2. Efficiency of Soxhlet extraction

Table 1 represents total yield (TY), concentrations of zeaxanthin ($C_{zea}$), and recovery of zeaxanthin ($R_{zea}$). The definitions of these items are shown as follows:

$$\text{TY} = \left[ \frac{\text{weight of the extract}}{\text{weight of feed material}} \right] \times 100\%$$

$$C_{zea} = \left[ \frac{\text{weight of zeaxanthin in the extract}}{\text{weight of algal extract}} \right]$$

$$R_{zea} = \left[ \frac{\text{weight of zeaxanthin in the extract}}{\text{weight of zeaxanthin in algae}} \right]$$

Organic solvents are frequently used to extract carotenoids from natural products. In our previous study, Soxhlet dichloromethane (DCM) extraction was used to recover zeaxanthin from the algae, and four extraction times (4, 8, 12, 16 h) were tested [11]. The

![Fig. 1. HPLC chromatogram of algal samples and standards (a) STDs; (b) Sox-CH2Cl2; (c) column partition fractions; (d) SAS precipitates. (1, lutein; 2, zeaxanthin; 3, internal standard; 4, β-cryptoxanthin; 5, α-carotene; 6, β-carotene).](image-url)
Table 4
RSM-designed SC-CO2 antisolvent precipitation at 313 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEM #</th>
<th>P (MPa)</th>
<th>P (bar)</th>
<th>Cfeed (mg/mL)</th>
<th>QCO2 (g/min)</th>
<th>Czea (mg/g)</th>
<th>TY (%)</th>
<th>Rzea (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1(F)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>486.3</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(A)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>578.4</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>85.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3(F)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>485.9</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>89.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4(A)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>653.2</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5(F)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>561.1</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6(A)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>673.7</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7(C)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>602.9</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8(F)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>660.7</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>83.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9(A)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>614.2</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>78.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10(A)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>653.2</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11(A)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>541.1</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>72.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12(F)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>544.4</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13(F)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>655.2</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14(F)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>622.3</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15(F)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>575.7</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P: pressure of precipitator; Cfeed: concentration of feed; QCO2: flow rate of CO2; TY: total yield of precipitates = (Wcrystallization/Wfeed) × 100%; Czea: concentration of zeaxanthin in precipitates; Rzea: recovery of zeaxanthin = (10 × TY × Czea/1000) × 100%; Qfeed: 2 mL/min; Czea,feed = 436.2 mg/g; Wfeed: 10 mg weight of feed.

Fig. 2. Three-dimensional representation of the concentration of zeaxanthin (Czea) (F-testing: R² = 0.91, S.D. = 29.43 mg/g). Center point: (a) pressure: 15 MPa; (b) Cfeed: 0.6 mg/mL; (c) QCO2 = 36 g/min.
findings presented that after 16 h of extraction, the content of zeaxanthin in the microalgal extract reached the maximum value. Due to the fact that the difference between the 12 and 16 h data is very small, the maximum amount of 1.79 mg/galga was considered to be 100% recovery of zeaxanthin obtained by the 300 mL Soxhlet DCM extraction of 10 g of microalgae. In our experiment, three solvents (ethanol, toluene, n-hexane) were used to evaluate extraction efficiency of zeaxanthin compared to that of the dichloromethane, shown in Table 1. From the table it can be seen that the recovery of zeaxanthin was the highest value when extracted by DCM, but was close to ethanol ($R_{\text{zea}} = 98\%$). The recovery of zeaxanthin decreased significantly with decreasing polarity of the solvents, and had no relationship to the boiling point of solvents. This phenomenon was caused by the higher solubility of zeaxanthin dissolved in ethanol and DCM than those of the other solvents.

3.3. Column chromatography fractionation

Compared to the solvent of Soxhlet extraction, the recovery of zeaxanthin in Soxhlet DCM is better than that of Soxhlet ethanol. Therefore, the Soxhlet DCM extract was adopted and further subjected to column chromatography to yield 32 fractions. A medium-pressure normal-phase column partition fractionation was adopted herein to purify zeaxanthin from the algal solution. Table 2 presents the concentration of zeaxanthin ($C_{\text{zea}}$) in each fraction. The fractions of 24–32 were identified as zeaxanthin-

![Fig. 3](image-url)

**Fig. 3.** FESEM images of precipitated algal samples at (a) $P$: 10 MPa, $C_{\text{feed}}$: 0.6 mg/mL, $Q_{\text{CO2}}$: 36 g/min; (b) $P$: 20 MPa, $C_{\text{feed}}$: 0.6 mg/mL, $Q_{\text{CO2}}$: 36 g/min; (c) $P$: 15 MPa, $C_{\text{feed}}$: 0.4 mg/mL, $Q_{\text{CO2}}$: 36 g/min; (d) $P$: 15 MPa, $C_{\text{feed}}$: 0.8 mg/mL, $Q_{\text{CO2}}$: 36 g/min; (e) $P$: 20 MPa, $C_{\text{feed}}$: 0.8 mg/mL, $Q_{\text{CO2}}$: 18 g/min; (f) $P$: 20 MPa, $C_{\text{feed}}$: 0.8 mg/mL, $Q_{\text{CO2}}$: 54 g/min.
rich by utilization of the HPLC analysis, and were enhanced from 121.2 mg/g to 530.9 mg/g. We stopped the collection when high \( C_{\text{zea}} \) value was observed (F32) because there is only low \( C_{\text{zea}} \) value in fractions after F32. The F24–F32 portions consisting of 56.4% zeaxanthin were mixed to form materials having an average value of the \( C_{\text{zea}} = 436.2 \text{ mg/g} \) (43.6%), which were then used as feeds for the SC-CO\(_2\) anti-solvent processes.

3.4. Preliminary experiment of SC-CO\(_2\) antisolvent precipitation

Prior to the study of a SAS process, solubilities of algal extracts in various solvents were crucial and had to be estimated for selection of suitable feed solutions. Table 3 shows experimental solubility data of algal extracts with different zeaxanthin contents in various solvents, which were obtained using visual observation of a fixed amount of solutes dissolved in the solvent. Further investigation into these solubilities shows chloromethane is the best solvent in which to dissolve the zeaxanthin-rich extracts. Good solvents (i.e. DCM) that easily dissolve zeaxanthin are not suitable for the purification of zeaxanthin in the SAS precipitation process. Ethanol and acetone are better solvents since their solubilities decrease sharply with increasing content of zeaxanthin in the extracts. Acetone was finally selected as a solvent to dissolve the algal extracts to form feed solutions for the SAS process study.

3.5. RSM-designed SC-CO\(_2\) antisolvent precipitation

Response surface methodology (RSM) based on the center composite scheme for three design variables (pressure, feeding concentration and flow rate of CO\(_2\)) with seven axial points, seven factor points and one center point was employed for the SC-CO\(_2\) anti-solvent precipitation. The condition for the RSM-designed SC-CO\(_2\) anti-solvent precipitation was set to 313 K. Table 4 lists experimental data concerning this RSM-designed at pressures ranging from 10 to 20 MPa, feeding concentrations from 0.4 to 0.8 mg/mL, and flow rates of CO\(_2\) from 18 to 54 g/min. One major response of the concentration of zeaxanthin (\( C_{\text{zea}} \)) was analyzed using a quadratic regression model in the Design-Expert software package (Stat-Ease, Version 6.01). Fig. 2 which plots the three-dimensional response surfaces for the concentrations of zeaxanthin (\( C_{\text{zea}} \)) shows that the concentrations of zeaxanthin (\( C_{\text{zea}} \)) which represent the quality of precipitates changed with pressure, solution concentration and flow rate of CO\(_2\) at the center point of \( P = 15 \text{ MPa}, C_{\text{feed}} = 0.6 \text{ mg/mL} \) and \( Q_{\text{CO}_2} = 36 \text{ g/min} \). The concentrations of zeaxanthin (\( C_{\text{zea}} \)) increased as pressure and CO\(_2\) flow rate increased, and it decreased as solution concentration increased from 0.4 to 0.8 mg/mL at high pressures. A quadratic regression model was determined from the F-test and the analysis of variance (i.e. \( F_{\text{zea}} = 5.77 > 4.77 = F_{(0.05, 9, 5)}, R^2 = 0.91 \) for Fig. 2). From the F-test, concentrations of zeaxanthin respond significantly in this RSM.

3.6. Morphology and particle size distribution

Fig. 3(a and b) displays the morphology of precipitate as they vary with pressure. The higher operating pressure produced a smaller size of flake-type precipitates. The degree of supersaturation increased as pressures increased above the critical point of the mixture; this was due to a decrease of solute concentration in the
solution mixed with CO₂. Fig. 3(c and d) indicates solution concentration increasing from 0.4 mg/mL to 0.8 mg/mL lead to an increase in the size of the snow flower-type precipitates due to a larger mass input. Fig. 3(e and f) shows that a thin size of the snow flower-type precipitates can be found in the experiments with high CO₂ flow rate. The reason may be that a high CO₂ flow rate is associated with rapid expansion of the feed solution and nucleation of the precipitates occurs in the well mixing of acetone and carbon dioxide. For a given degree of expansion, reducing the solution concentration of zeaxanthin promotes the supersaturation of the solute and the easy formation of narrow size precipitates. In contrast, increasing the solution concentration of zeaxanthin promotes the agglomeration of precipitates, such that the snow flower-type precipitates become large. In the study of classification of particulates in the SAS process, the experimental data of Fig. 4 indicates that reducing the injection time from 12.5 min to 1 min leads to nano-sized round-type precipitates, and it is obvious that a small mass input during a short injected time leads to nucleation during the SAS process only.

3.7. Agar-plate tyrosinase inhibition of SC-CO₂ antisolvent precipitation

An agar-plate model was adopted to evaluate the correlation between the zeaxanthin contents and tyrosinase inhibition by the algal extracts and the SAS precipitates. Fig. 5 shows that qualitative effect of the 65% zeaxanthin sample on the anti-tyrosinase activity is very close to that of Vitamin C, because that bright area and brightness representing the ability of anti-tyrosinase are almost identical at the dosed concentration of 1 mg/mL. The bright area of zeaxanthin activity increased with the content of zeaxanthin in the samples.

4. Conclusions

This study successfully examined the generation of submicron-sized precipitates containing zeaxanthin from algal solutions of Nannochloropsis oculata using reverse-phase column elution chromatography coupled with the SAS precipitation process. Experimental results show that the amount of zeaxanthin in the column elution was 21-fold higher than that in the dry solid algae. A three-center-composite factor of response surface method designed supercritical anti-solvent precipitations of the algal solutions was executed to find crucial operational parameters affecting the amount of zeaxanthin in the submicron sized precipitates. The results revealed that the concentration of feed solution and the flow rate of carbon dioxide are very important to influence the amount of zeaxanthin. Higher amounts of zeaxanthin in the precipitates can be found in the experiments of low feed concentrations. On the other hand, smaller sizes of the round-type particulates can be found in high CO₂ flow rates under a short injection time. This work demonstrates that supercritical carbon dioxide anti-solvent precipitation of the fraction obtained from the column elution chromatography substantially produces submicron-sized precipitates containing a large amount of zeaxanthin; the purest reached 67.4% by weight.
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